

## Species Identification of Thermo-tolerant *Bacillus* Isolates Using 16S rDNA, *gyrB* Gene (*gyrB*) and Enzyme Gene Sequence Analysis

Cynthia T. Hedreyda<sup>1,\*</sup> and Rosario G. Monsalud<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,  
University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines 1101

<sup>2</sup>National Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,  
University of the Philippines Los Baños, College Laguna Philippines 4031

Twenty four thermo-tolerant *Bacillus* isolates that tested positive in preliminary enzyme plate assays were subjected to 16S rDNA sequence analysis, which revealed that identification results were not consistent with conventional biochemical identification in eighteen isolates. Identification inconsistencies were resolved in sixteen isolates by *gyrB* sequence analysis that gave single species identification, consistent with 16S rDNA sequence analysis. One isolate was identified as *B. subtilis* based on similar results from the conventional approach and 16S rDNA analysis. Ambiguous identification was observed in seven isolates with 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequences exhibiting 96-100% sequence identity with two or more closely related *Bacillus* species. Four isolates with ambiguous identification exhibited significant 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence identity with a group of *Bacillus* that includes *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *B. anthracis*. Each of three remaining isolates with ambiguous identification exhibited significant rDNA and/or *gyrB* sequence identity with a different group, a group of bacteria that includes *B. vietnamensis* and *B. aquimaris*, a group with *B. safensis* and *B. pumilus* and another with *B. methylotrophicus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*. Enzyme gene-targeted polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplified partial gene sequences of at least one of the enzymes protease, cellulase, amylase, and phytase in each of fourteen isolates. The enzyme genes exhibited 98-99% sequence identity with genes reported in the database for *Bacillus* species that matched the identification results. Additional phenotypic and molecular markers that could distinguish closely related *Bacillus* species are necessary to resolve ambiguous identification.

Keywords: amylase, *Bacillus*, *gyrB*, protease, 16S rDNA, thermo-tolerant

### INTRODUCTION

Thermo-tolerance is an important characteristic that is often desired for bacterial strains used in industry. This is because during the bioprocessing of materials that makes use of bacteria (Haki and Rakshit 2003), increased temperature is either produced or used in

the bioconversion of raw materials to come up with the desired quality and quantity of the products. High temperature during bioprocessing is one of the problems that need to be addressed when using microbial enzymes in industry. It is either the bacteria could not survive the increased temperature or the bacterial enzymes undergo protein denaturation with increased temperature (Pandey and Ramachandran 2006). To address this problem, it is necessary to isolate thermo-tolerant

---

\*Corresponding author: chedreyda@mbb.upd.ph

bacteria that could produce thermostable enzymes at temperatures 50° C and above (Haki and Rakshit 2003, Rakshit 2006). There is significant demand and high cost of microbial-based enzyme importation for local industrial processes. Use of bacteria that produce thermostable enzymes or isolation of thermostable enzymes from thermo-tolerant *Bacillus* has not been extensively explored in the Philippines.

This research made use of twenty four thermo-tolerant bacterial isolates that were previously isolated from different sources and are currently maintained in the Philippine National Collection of Microorganisms (Biotech UP Los Baños, College, Laguna). The bacteria were initially identified using conventional biochemical tests to belong to *Bacillus*, a group reported to include thermophiles (Kristajansson 1989). Preliminary evaluation revealed that these thermo-tolerant isolates produced one or more enzymes like protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase, suggesting that these bacteria could be potential sources of industrially important enzymes.

This study was conducted to confirm species identification of twenty four thermo-tolerant *Bacillus* isolates using 16S rDNA sequence analysis. Sequence analysis of *gyrB*, another universal and conserved gene, was also performed to address identification inconsistencies obtained from the conventional biochemical approach and the 16S rDNA sequence analysis. Enzyme gene-targeted PCR was performed using primers that target genes for industrially important enzymes (including cellulase, protease, amylase, and phytase) and DNA templates from the *Bacillus* isolates. BLAST analysis of putative enzyme gene amplicons provided the information on identity of enzyme gene. Moreover, BLAST analysis gave the bacterial species that possess the same enzyme gene with significant (97-100%) and highest sequence identity. The study identified enzyme producing thermo-tolerant *Bacillus* that may be used directly in industrial processes. Some of these enzyme producing bacterial isolates could be potential subjects of strain improvement research for increased enzyme activity or enzyme stability using new approaches such as directed evolution and protein engineering.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The bacterial isolates – derived from soil samples (planted with pili, ramie, and fig), “bagoong” (shrimp paste) from different areas in the Philippines and “tapuy” (rice wine) from Ifugao – were provided by the Philippine National Collection of Microorganisms (PNCM, Biotech, UP Los Baños).

### Isolation, growth, and maintenance of bacterial isolates

Pure culture of each isolate was grown in 5 mL Luria-Bertani (1% w/v tryptone, 0.5% w/v yeast extract, and 1% w/v NaCl) broth incubated at 37° C with shaking (225 rpm) using Vision shaking incubator (Vision, Bucheon, South Korea) or in LB agar slants (LB broth, 1.5% w/v agar).

### Screening for thermo-tolerant bacteria

Inoculum (20 µL) from overnight LB broth cultures (grown at 35° C) of each isolate was added to three replicates of LB broth in 40 mL Erlenmeyer flasks. The flask cultures were incubated at 50, 55, 60, and 65° C in a shaker water bath (Vision Scientific Co. Ltd., Kyoungi-Do, South Korea) for 24 h and 48 h. Presence of growth was determined by measuring turbidity using NanoDrop™ (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) set at 600 nm following manufacturer’s protocol.

### Protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase agar plate assay

Selected isolates were screened for protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase plate assay activity. Agar assay plates contain 1.0% gelatin and 1.0% casein, 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose, 0.4% soluble starch and mineral salt agar with 0.2% citrus pectin, to test for protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase enzyme activity, respectively (Siddalingeshwara et al. 2010, Meddeb-Mouelhi et al. 2014, Deb et al.

2013, Rehman et al. 2015). Protease activity was evaluated by observing clearing zones surrounding the bacterial growth. Cellulase activity was determined by observing clearing zones surrounding the colonies after adding Congo red solution (1% w/v) for 15 min, followed by destaining with 1 M NaCl for the same duration of time. Amylase activity was visualized by observing clearing zones after adding Gram iodine. Pectinase activity was detected by observing clearing zones in plate growth culture after adding potassium iodide solution.

### Genomic DNA template preparation

Bacterial cells were obtained from 1.5 mL of overnight LB broth culture of each isolate. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (7,000 x g for 1 min) and re-suspended in 200 µL dH<sub>2</sub>O. The resulting bacterial suspension was subjected to genomic DNA extraction using ZR Fungal/Bacterial DNA Miniprep™ (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The genomic DNA was subjected to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis with in-gel 0.5 µg/mL ethidium bromide stain using Labnet electrophoresis tank at 100V for 30 min. DNA was visualized under UV and genomic DNA concentration and purity were assessed using NanoDrop™ (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE,

USA). Extracted genomic DNA was stored at -20° C until use in polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

### Identification of bacterial isolates

Isolates were identified using morphological and physiological tests VITEK II ID System and VITEK MS (BioMérieux, Inc. Hazelwood, MO, USA) following manufacturer's protocols. Bacterial identification was also performed using 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence analysis. PCR was performed using extracted genomic DNA as template and universal primers for 16S rDNA and *gyrB* (Table 1). The following PCR profiles were used for 16S rDNA amplification: 5 min at 94° C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 30 s at 50° C, and 45 s at 72° C; and 7 min at 72° C. The following profiles were used for *gyrB* amplification: 5 min at 94° C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 45 s at 59° C, and 45 s at 72° C; and 7 min at 72° C.

### Detection of genes for amylase, protease, cellulose, and phytase

PCR conditions for gene-targeted primer pairs were optimized using the extracted genomic DNA as template and following optimized PCR profiles for each gene to be amplified. The following profiles were used for amplifying amylase gene: 5 min at 94° C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 30 s at 58° C and 50° C for *amyE*, *amyS*, and *sAP* respectively, 30 s at 72° C; and 7 min at 72° C. Another primer set targeting the cellulase gene (*cel*) was used with the following profiles: 5 min at 94° C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 30 s at 59° C, 30 s at 72° C; and 7 min at 72° C. The primer set to amplify the gene for phytase

was used with 5 min at 95° C; 35 cycles of 1 min at 95° C; 1 min at 52° C, 1 min at 72° C; and 10 min at 72° C.

All amplicons (16S rDNA, *gyrB*, and enzyme genes) were sent to 1st BASE (BASE Life Sciences Holdings, Singapore) for sequencing. The sequence identity was obtained using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST, Altschul et al. 1997). Sequences were aligned with matches from the database using Multalin (<http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/>).

## RESULTS

### Bacterial isolates

The list of twenty four isolates used in this study, source of bacteria, results of preliminary enzyme plate assays, and the highest temperature that resulted to bacterial growth in LB broth (measured in terms of turbidity change using Nanodrop cell culture measurement), are summarized in Table 2. The isolates exhibited growth within the range of 50-65° C and produced one or more of the following enzymes: protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase in agar plate assays.

### Identification of thermo-tolerant *Bacillus*

All twenty four isolates used in this study were classified under the genus *Bacillus* using the conventional biochemical bacterial identification protocols. In eighteen of twenty four isolates studied, results of conventional

Table 1. PCR primers used in the study.

Gene	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	Source	Amplicon size (bp)
16S rDNA	F AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG	Marchesi et al. 1998	1,450
	R GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT		
gyraseB gene ( <i>gyrB</i> )	F GAAGTCATCATGACGTTCTGCA	Yamamoto & Harayama 1995	1,200
	R AGCAGGTACGGATGTGCAGCC		
amylase gene ( <i>amyE</i> )	F AAAGAGAGTGGCGAGATGAGG	This study	880
	R CAAACGTACCGCGTCGTAAA		
amylase gene ( <i>amyS</i> )	F TGTGCAGCCGCTGAAGAATA	This study	1,600
	R TCAATGGGGAAGAGAACC GC		
serine alkaline protease gene ( <i>sAP</i> )	F AAYATGGAYGTNATHAAYATG	This study	450
	R ARNCCYTTNCCRTARTARAA		
cellulase gene ( <i>Cel</i> )	F AGAGCCAAAATGATGCGAAGG	This study	1,500
	R TTCATCCACAACGCAAACCTC		
phytase gene ( <i>Phy</i> )	F CTGTCTGATCCTTATCATT	Bawane et al. 2011	1,059
	R TCCGCTTCTGTCTCGGTCA		
neutral protease gene ( <i>NP</i> )	F TTGTGCTTGAGACAAGCGTG	This study	660
	R GCTTGTTGAAAGCAGACTG		

**Table 2.** Results of preliminary enzyme plate assays and test for temperature tolerance on *Bacillus* isolates from different sources.

Isolate code	Source of isolate	Enzyme detected by enzyme plate assay	Maximum temp tolerated (°C)
1262	<i>Ficus ulmifolia</i> roots from Taal volcano island	protease pectinase	65
1268	Fish sauce (bagoong) Capiz, Philippines	protease, amylase	60
1269	Fish sauce (bagoong) Lingayen, Philippines	protease	65
1271	Fish sauce (bagoong) Camarines Norte, Philippines	protease	55
1272	Fish sauce (bagoong) Lingayen, Philippines	amylase	65
1277	Rice wine (tapuy) from Ifugao, Philippines	protease, cellulase, amylase	55
1279	Rice wine (tapuy) from Ifugao, Philippines	cellulase, amylase protease	65
1280	Rice wine (tapuy) from Ifugao, Philippines	protease, cellulase	65
1567	Soil planted with ramie	pectinase	60
1569	Soil	cellulase	65
1572	Soil	amylase	55
1573	Soil	cellulase, amylase, protease	55
1574	Soil	cellulase	55
1575	Soil	amylase	55
1576	Soil	protease	55
1056	Soil	cellulase, protease, cellulase	55
1092	Soil	cellulase	55
10100	Culture Collection	amylase	55
10101	Culture Collection	cellulase	55
10102	Hotspring in Laguna, Philippines	protease pectinase	55
10103	Hotspring in Laguna, Philippines	protease, amylase, cellulase	55
10104	Hotspring, in Laguna, Philippines	protease pectinase	55
10105	Pili kernel, Philippines	protease, amylase, cellulase	65
1320	Culture collection	amylase, protease	55

bacterial identification at the species level were not consistent with the identification using the 16S rDNA sequence analysis (Table 3). Several isolates exhibited high 16S rDNA sequence identity with more than one closely related *Bacillus* species. The *gyrB* sequence analysis was used as an additional approach for species identification

to help resolve identification inconsistencies, resulting in species identification consistent with identification results of 16S rDNA sequence analysis for sixteen isolates (Table 4). One isolate (1056) was identified as *Bacillus subtilis* based mainly on the conventional approach and 16S rDNA sequence and was not subjected to *gyrB* sequence analysis.

The BLAST results of 16S rDNA and *gyrB* from four (1268, 1272, 1279, and 1275) of seven isolates with ambiguous identification, exhibited highest sequence identity with same genes from *B. cereus*, *B. anthracis*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *B. toyonensis*. One isolates with ambiguous identification (1576) exhibited highest 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence identity with a group that includes *B. safensis* and *B. pumilus*. The 16S rDNA from isolate 1269 matched genes from *B. vietnamensis* and *B. aquimaris* but its *gyrB* did not give significant match with the same species. Isolate 1573 gave 100% sequence identity of 16S rDNA and *gyrB* with genes from both *B. methylotrophicus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*.

Additional data from enzyme gene-targeted PCR contributed confirmation of species identification in thirteen isolates that were identified based on consistent BLAST analysis results of 16S rDNA and *gyrB* gene sequences (Table 4). BLAST analysis results on enzyme gene sequences for each of 13 isolates revealed highest sequence identity with genes from *Bacillus* species consistent with species identification. Isolate 1056 that was identified as *B. subtilis* also has a phytase gene that exhibited highest (99%) sequence identity with phytase gene from *B. subtilis*. BLAST results of 16S rDNA and *gyrB* identified isolate 1573 to belong to *B. methylotrophicus* or *B. amyloloquefaciens* and genes for serine alkaline protease and cellulase from this isolate also exhibited highest sequence identity with these genes in these two species. Three of six isolates with ambiguous species identification (1268, 1272, and 1279) possess an alpha amylase gene that exhibit 100% sequence identity with amylase gene from *B. cereus*, one of the possible species identification suggested by 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence analysis.

The BLAST results on *gyrB* and enzyme gene sequences were crucial in resolving species identification ambiguities from 16S rDNA analysis in some isolates (Tables 3 and 4). In isolate 1262, top hits (with 100% sequence identity) based on 16S rDNA sequence are *B. stratosphericus* and *B. pumilus* but BLAST result of *gyrB* showed highest sequence identity (99%) with *gyrB* of *B. pumilus*. Serine alkaline protease gene from isolate 1262 also exhibits 98% sequence identity with genes from *B. pumilus*. Significant sequence identity of *gyrB* and protease gene of *B. pumilus* with genes from isolates 10102 and 10104 was also the major basis for classifying both isolates under *B. pumilus*. The 16S rDNA in isolate 1277

**Table 3.** Species identification of *Bacillus* isolates based on conventional approach and BLAST analysis of 16S and *gyrB* sequences.

Isolate code	Conventional Biochemical Approach	BLAST result of 16S rDNA sequence analysis (% sequence identity)	BLAST result of <i>gyrB</i> sequence analysis (% sequence identity)
1262	<i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i>	<i>B. stratosphericus</i> LSR9.1 (100%) <i>B. pumilus</i> KU-BF1(100%) <i>B. pumilus</i> NS-31 (100%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> TUAT (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> GR-8 (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> GLB197(99%)
1268	<i>Brevibacillus laterosporus</i>	<i>B. cereus</i> ASDS9 (99%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp.CMJ37RA(99%) <i>B. anthracis</i> (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> FRI-35 (99%) <i>B. cereus</i> Q1 (99%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (99%)
1269	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>Bacillus</i> sp VCM5 (99%) <i>B. vietnamensis</i> KJ-WC ( 99%) <i>B. aquimaris</i> H063 (99%)	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> (73%) <i>B. subtilis</i> (73%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp SDL1 (73%)
1271	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>B. pumilus</i> CSR12 (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> CSE 32 (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> (99%)
1272	<i>Bacillus sphaericus</i>	<i>B. anthracis</i> Ames(100%) <i>B. cereus</i> ATCC 14579(99%) <i>B. cereus</i> JCM 2152 (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> F837/76 (100%) <i>B. cereus</i> 03BB108 (99%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> HD571(99%)
1277	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i> ASC4.(97%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> (97%) <i>B. tequilensis</i> (97%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> Y98b (98%) <i>B. vallismoris</i> (90%) <i>B. axarquiensis</i> (90%)
1279	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	<i>B. thuringiensis</i> ATCC 10792 (99%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> IAM 12077 (99%) <i>B. toyonensis</i> BCT-7112 (99%)	<i>B. thuringiensis</i> serovar <i>tohokuensis</i> (98%) <i>B. cereus</i> (98%) <i>B. toyonensis</i> (97%)
1280	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i> subsp. <i>spizizenii</i> ATCC 6633 (100%) <i>B. subtilis</i> JCM 1465(100%) <i>B. subtilis</i> BRC 13719 (100%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> Y98b (100%) <i>B. subtilis</i> subsp. <i>subtilis</i> RO-NN-1 (99%) <i>B. subtilis</i> subsp. <i>subtilis</i> CU1050 (99%)
1567	<i>Bacillus sphaericus</i>	<i>B. sphaericus</i> (97%)	<i>B. sphaericus</i> (86%)
1569	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>B. clausii</i> DTM1 (99%) <i>B. clausii</i> IHBB (99%) <i>B. clausii</i> GB-B15 (99%)	<i>B. clausii</i> KSM-K16 (96%) <i>B. clausii</i> ENTPr <sub>o</sub> (96%)
1572	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	<i>B. cereus</i> BRL-J (100%) <i>B. cereus</i> IARI- SOB 15 (100%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp IRAI-CFB 20 (100%)	<i>B. cereus</i> NC7401 (99%) <i>Brevibacillus brevis</i> CQUBb (99%) <i>B. cereus</i> CICC 10041 (99%)
1573	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subsp. <i>subtilis</i>	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> ZYTC101 (100%) <i>B. methylotrophicus</i> B25 (100%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> BA100 (100%)	<i>B. methylotrophicus</i> B25 (100%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> MBE1283 (100%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> D15 (100%)
1574	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subsp. <i>subtilis</i>	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> YW2 (99%) <i>B. methylotrophicus</i> MER TA (99%)	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> BGP20 (97%) <i>B. subtilis</i> B-1 (97%)
1575	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>B. cereus</i> L12 (99%) <i>B. anthracis</i> J4 (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> H6 (98%) <i>B. cereus</i> D17 (98%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> AlHakam (98%) <i>B. anthracis</i> A16R (98%)
1576	<i>Bacillus</i> sp	<i>B. safensis</i> SL-40 (99%) <i>B. safensis</i> KNUSC1009 (99%) <i>B. safensis</i> 1-Si-2-5-7-T (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> ISE 24 (99%)	<i>B. safensis</i> VKM B-711 (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> FO-038 (99%)
1056	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subsp. <i>subtilis</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i> VT03 KC512905.1 (96%) <i>B. subtilis</i> H10-5-5 (96%) <i>B. subtilis</i> W1 (96%)	Not done
1092	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	<i>B. thuringiensis</i> R112-100 (99%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> R112-97 (99%)	<i>B. thuringiensis</i> serovar <i>israelensis</i> AM65-52 (99%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> HD1002 (99%)
10100	<i>Bacillus stearothersophilus</i>	<i>B. cereus</i> RSA21 (100%) <i>B. anthracis</i> Larissa(100%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> MS8 (100%)	<i>B. cereus</i> F837/7 (98%) <i>B. cereus</i> 03BB108 (98%) <i>B. cereus</i> 03BB108 (98%)
10101	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	<i>B. subtilis</i> HB-1 (99%) <i>B. subtilis</i> B18 (99%) <i>B. tequilensis</i> CGX5-1 (99%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> BY-2 (99%) <i>B. subtilis</i> BSn5 (99%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp. YP1 (99%)

Table 3. continued next page . . . .

10102	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subsp <i>subtilis</i>	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. MT7 (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> NJ-V (99%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp. BAB-5881 (99%)	<i>Bacillus</i> sp. R31 2014 (99%) <i>B. pumilus</i> Klu7 (99%)
10103	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> subsp <i>subtilis</i>	<i>B. subtilis</i> VITM 6 (97%) <i>B. subtilis</i> H10-5-5 (97%) <i>B. subtilis</i> 16-5G (97%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> E2 (98%)
10104	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i>	<i>B. pumilus</i> NJ-V (99%) <i>Bacillus</i> sp. 7-3 (99%) <i>B. altitudinis</i> W10 (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> GLB197 (99%)
10105	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	<i>B. methylotrophicus</i> HQB246 (100%) <i>B. subtilis</i> RSS-1 (100%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> HXD-5 (100%)	<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> h47 (99%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens plantarum</i> G4b (99%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> NMSX4 (99%)
1320	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> (99%)	<i>B. licheniformis</i> BCRC 11702 (99%) <i>B. licheniformis</i> BCRC 14353 (99%) <i>B. licheniformis</i> K106c (99%)

**Table 4.** Suggested species identification based on BLAST analysis results on 16S rDNA, *gyrB* and enzyme genes.

Isolate code	Identification based on 16 rDNA & <i>gyrB</i> gene sequence analysis (% sequence identity)	BLAST result of enzyme (% sequence identity)
1262	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> alkaline serine protease (98%)
1268	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> <i>Bacillus anthracis</i> 16S rDNA (99%)  <i>Bacillus cereus</i> <i>Bacillus thuringiensis gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> alpha amylase (100%) <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (98%)
1269	<i>Bacillus vietnamensis</i> or <i>Bacillus aquimaris</i> 16s rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> /no conclusive data	No data for gene detection
1271	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> 16s rDNA (94%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> serine alkaline protease (99%)
1272	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (100%) <i>Bacillus anthracis</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> none <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> 16S rDNA none <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> alpha amylase (100%)
1277	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> 16S rDNA (97%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> serine alkaline protease (97%) <i>B. subtilis</i> cellulase (98%) <i>B. subtilis</i> neutral protease (99%)
1279	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> 16S rDNA (98%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%) or <i>Bacillus cereus</i> 16S rDNA (97%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	<i>B. cereus</i> alpha amylase (100%)
1280	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> neutral protease (100%) <i>B. subtilis</i> endo-1,4-beta-glucanase (99%)
1567	<i>Bacillus sphaericus</i> 16S rDNA (97%) <i>gyrB</i> (86%)	gene detection, no data
1569	<i>Bacillus clausii</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (96%)	gene detection, no data
1572	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. cereus</i> alpha-amylase (100%) <i>B. cereus</i> neutral protease (99%)
1573	<i>Bacillus methylotrophicus</i> <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> (100%)	<i>B. methylotrophicus</i> endoglucanase (99%) <i>B. methylotrophicus</i> serine alkaline protease (100%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> serine protease (98%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> cellulase (98%)
1574	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (97%)	gene detection, no data
1575	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> <i>Bacillus anthracis</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	gene detection, no data
1576	<i>Bacillus safensis</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)  <i>Bacillus pumilus</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	gene detection, no data
1056	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> VT03 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> / no data	<i>B. subtilis</i> phytase gene (99%)
1092	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	gene detection, no data

Table 4. continued next page . . . .

10100	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	<i>B. cereus</i> alpha amylase (100%)
10101	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> protease (99%) <i>B. subtilis</i> endo-beta-1,4-glucanase (95%)
10102	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> serine alkaline protease (99%)
10103	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> 16S rDNA (97%) <i>gyrB</i> (98%)	<i>B. subtilis</i> cellulase gene (99%)
10104	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> 16S rDNA (99%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. pumilus</i> serine alkaline protease (98%)
10105	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	cellulase, protease, amylase assay (+) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> endoglucanase gene (98%) <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> alkaline serine protease (100%)
1320	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> 16S rDNA (100%) <i>gyrB</i> (99%)	<i>B. licheniformis</i> strain CICIM B102 alkaline protease (99%)

matched two other species in addition to *B. subtilis*, but BLAST analysis of *gyrB* and genes for enzymes serine alkaline protease, neutral protease and cellulase exhibited significant sequence identity with genes from *B. subtilis*. The 16S rDNA of isolate 10100 matched three different species but *gyrB* and alpha amylase gene matched *B. cereus* with 98 and 100% sequence identity, respectively. Isolate 10105 exhibited ambiguous identification based on 16S rDNA but gave single species *B. amyloliquefaciens* identification using *gyrB*. Sequences of endoglucanase and serine alkaline protease genes of isolate 10105 also exhibited highest sequence identity with the same genes from *B. amyloliquefaciens*.

## DISCUSSION

Several studies have reported the isolation of thermotolerant bacteria that belong to the genus *Bacillus* from thermophilic and mesophilic environments (de Souza et al. 2001, Beffa et al. 1996, Huang et al. 1998). In this study, bacteria that were isolated from different sources (Table 2) and initially identified to belong to the genus *Bacillus* using conventional approach, were provided by the Philippine National Collection of Microorganisms (PNCM, Biotech UP Los Baños). Use of these *Bacillus* isolates was advantageous since pure cultures were available, thus eliminating the tedious procedures of sample collection, isolation and preliminary identification.

All isolates used in this study exhibited growth at 50° C or higher, consistent with the reports that many species under the genus *Bacillus* are thermo-tolerant (Raincy et al. 1994, Marteinsson et al. 1996, Aanniz et al. 2015). Genes for protease, cellulase, amylase, and pectinase were amplified from several isolates and it is expected that these thermo-tolerant bacteria also possess temperature stable enzymes for possible industrial applications. When the preliminary identification of the isolates based on conventional approach was verified using 16S rDNA sequence analysis, eighteen of twenty four isolates

were observed to exhibit species identity different from the results of conventional approach (Table 3). One major objective of this study, therefore, is to address inconsistencies in species identification of the *Bacillus* isolates. Accurate identification would pave the way to gaining relevant prior knowledge available in the literature about each isolate, including the industrially significant enzymes the species can produce.

It is common to experience conflicting species identification or ambiguous results between conventional biochemical tests and the molecular approach using 16S rDNA sequence analysis especially with genus containing very closely related species like *Bacillus* (Berthier and Ehrlich 1998, Almeida and Araujo 2013). The *gyrB*, a gene for gyrase B enzyme (subunit B protein of DNA gyrase or topoisomerase type II), is another molecular marker used in bacterial identification because like the 16S rDNA, *gyrB* is universal and highly conserved. In certain cases, because *gyrB* molecular evolution rate is higher than that of 16S rDNA (Yamamoto and Haryama 1995), *gyrB* sequence analysis could be more discriminatory for some closely related species. In this study, *gyrB* sequence analysis was performed and BLAST results of *gyrB* sequences contributed to the resolution of identification inconsistencies for sixteen isolates.

Ambiguity in bacterial species identification is encountered if the bacteria belong to closely related species that possess 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequences exhibiting significant sequence identity. Ambiguity was observed in seven isolates. For isolate 1573, the molecular approaches were not able to provide a single species identification because 100% sequence identity in both 16S rDNA and *gyrB* was observed with genes from *B. methylophilicus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*. Even genes for serine alkaline protease and endoglucanase in isolate 1573 exhibited significant sequence identity with the same genes in both species. This observation is expected and is consistent with previous reports that genome comparison could not distinguish type strain *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* from type strain *B. methylophilicus* (Dunlap

et al. 2015). Ambiguity in identification could only be addressed by additional phenotypic and molecular markers that will be useful in distinguishing closely related bacterial species.

The results of enzyme gene-targeted PCR were able to show which isolates have genes for industrially important enzymes protease, cellulase, amylase, and phytase (Table 4). Enzyme gene sequence analysis is not a routine procedure for species identification in bacteria but BLAST analysis of enzyme gene amplicons did not only identify the enzyme genes, but also provided the database information on what bacterial species possess genes with significant sequence identity. It is expected that the genes for the same enzyme produced by different bacterial species exhibit a few to several nucleotide sequence variations that may or may not result in corresponding amino acid variation of the protein. Genes that have no obvious evolutionary relatedness might have short segments that are similar to one another but with overall low percentage of similar nucleotide sequences (Brown 2002), while genes from the same species are expected to exhibit high sequence identity. BLAST results in this study revealed that out of several genes reported in the database on a specific enzyme gene, the amplified genes from the isolates exhibited highest sequence identity with genes from the species consistent with identification results in 14 isolates. Additional enzyme gene sequence detection and analysis from the remaining ten isolates may also provide results consistent with species identification. In three isolates with ambiguous species identification, an alpha amylase gene that exhibit 100% sequence identity with amylase gene from *B. cereus*, was present. *B. cereus* is one of the suggested species identification based on 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence analysis. Comparison of alpha amylase gene sequences from other close relatives of *B. cereus* may be valuable to determine if alpha amylase gene sequence variation could help resolve identification ambiguity.

This study shows that a combination of conventional biochemical tests and molecular approaches (e.g., 16S rDNA, *gyrB*) and enzyme gene sequence analyses, are valuable in bacterial species identification. Inconsistencies of identification results from conventional biochemical tests and molecular approaches are expected in very closely related species and ambiguity in bacterial species identification may also be encountered if the bacteria that belong to closely related species that possess 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequences exhibiting significant sequence identity with two or more species. Resolution of identification ambiguities awaits discovery of additional phenotypic and/or molecular markers that could help distinguish closely related species. Members of closely related species may be distinguished from one another in terms of presence or absence of an enzyme gene. If the different species possess the same enzyme genes, presence of significant nucleotide variation in specific

enzyme genes among different species may contribute in distinguishing closely related bacterial species. Enzyme gene sequence analysis data in 14 isolates evaluated in this study pointed out to the same species obtained from the standard identification protocols using 16S rDNA and *gyrB* sequence analysis. Use of enzyme gene sequence analysis – as an additional procedure to verify identification results – merits further evaluation.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the Philippine National Collection of Microorganisms (Biotech UP Los Baños) for the bacterial isolates, the National Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (UP Diliman), and the UP System Enhanced Creative Work and Research Grant for research funds.

## REFERENCES

- ALMEIDA LA, ARAUJO R. 2013. Highlights on molecular identification of closely related species. *Infect Genet Evol* 13:67-75.
- ALTSCHUL SF, MADDEN TL, SCHAFFER AA, ZHANG Z, MILLER W, LIPMAN DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25(17):3389-3402.
- AANNIZ T, OUDGHIRI M, MELLOUL M, SWINGS J, ELFAHIME E, IBIJBIJEN J, ISMAILI M, AMAR M. 2015. Thermophilic bacteria in Moroccan hot springs, salt marshes and desert soils. *Braz J Microbiol* 46(2):443-453.
- Advanced Studies in Biology* 3(3):103-110.
- BEFFA T, BLANC M, LYON PF, ARAGNO M. 1996. Isolation of *Thermus* strains from hot composts (60°C to 80°C). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 62:1723-1727.
- BERTHIER B, EHRLICH SD. 1998. Identification within two groups of closely related lactobacilli using PCR primers that target the 16S/23S rRNA spacer region. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 161(1):97-106.
- BROWN TA. 2002. Understanding a Genome Sequence. In *Genomes 2*. Chapter 7 2nd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Liss; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK21136>
- DE SOUZAAN, LELIS M, MARTINS L. 2001. Isolation, properties and kinetics of growth of thermophilic *Bacillus*. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 32:271-275.
- DEB P, TALUKDAR SA, MOHSINA SARKER PK,

- ABU SAYEM SM. 2013. Production and partial characterization of extracellular amylase enzyme from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. SpringerPlus 2:154.
- DUNLAP CA, KIM SJ, KWON SW, ROONEY AP. 2015. Phylogenetic analysis shows that *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* is a later heterotypic synonym of *Bacillus methylotrophicus*. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 65:2104-2109.
- HAKI G, RAKSHIT S. 2003. Developments in industrially important thermostable enzymes: a review. *Bioresource Technology* 89:17-34.
- HUANG CY, PATEL BK, MAH RA, BARESI L. 1998. *Caldicellulosiruptor owensensis* sp. nov., an anaerobic, extremely thermophilic, xylanolytic bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol 48:91-97.
- KRISTAJANSSON JK. 1989. Thermophilic organisms as sources of thermostable enzymes. *Trends in Biotechnology* 7:349-353.
- MARTEINSSON VT, BIRRIEN JL, JEANTHON C, PRIEUR D. 1996. Numerical taxonomic *Bacillus* isolated from three geographically separated deep-sea hydrothermal vents. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 21:255-266.
- MEDDEB-MOUELHIF, MOISANAJK, BEAUREGARD M. 2014. A comparison of plate assay methods for detecting extracellular cellulase and xylanase activity. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* (66):16-19.
- PANDEY A, RAMACHANDRAN S. 2006. General Introduction In *Enzyme Technology*: In Pandey A, Webb C, Soccol CR, Larroche C eds. New Delhi: Asiatech Publishers Inc. p. 1-10.
- RAINCY FA, FRITZE D, STACKCBRANDT E. 1994. The phylogenetic diversity of thermophilic members of the genus *Bacillus* as revealed by 16S rDNA analysis. FEMS Microbiology Letters 115:205-212.
- RAKSHIT S. 2006. Thermozyms. In Pandey at al. eds. New Delhi: Asiatech Publishers Inc. p. 603-614.
- REHMAN HU, SIDDIQUE NN, AMANA, NAWAZ MA, BALOCHAH, QADER SA. 2015. Morphological and molecular based identification of pectinase producing *Bacillus licheniformis* from rotten vegetable. Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology 13:139-144.
- SIDDALINGESHWARA KG , UDAY J, HUCHESH CH, PUTTARAJU HP, KARTHIC J , UDIPTA KM, PRAMOD T, VISHWANATHA T. 2010. Screening and characterization of protease from *Bacillus sp* . International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 1(2):575-581. 205
- YAMAMOTO S, HARAYAMA S. 1995. PCR amplification and direct sequencing of *gyrB* genes with universal primers and their application to the detection and taxonomic analysis of *Pseudomonas putida* strains. Appl and Environmental Microbiol 61(3):1104-1109.